

TO - THE GOVERNOR OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA
GOVERNMENT HOUSE
c/ - NORTH TERRACE
ADELAIDE S.A. 5000

FROM- DAVID P JARRETT
(DCS No. 69405)
MT GAMBIER PRISON

OPI REF: 2022/004071

c/ - P.O. Box 1498
MT GAMBIER S.A. 5290

RE - ICAC ACT, AND, WHISTLEBLOWERS ACT

RE - CRIMINAL ABUSE OF PUBLIC OFFICE, AND OF MINISTERIAL
OFFICE, BY STH AUST. GOVERNMENT, TO VIOLATE COURT'S
JURISDICTIONAL COMPETENT AUTHORITY (CONST. CH. III),
RESULTING IN ME BEING UNCONSTITUTIONALLY
INCARCERATED AUSTRALIAN CITIZEN, SINCE 2009.

- CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT BY STH. AUST. GOVERNMENT, TO
CRIMINALLY DISREGARD STH. AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S
CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION, TO 'ADMINISTRATIVELY
COMPLY WITH AND ENFORCE, MY CONST. CH. III COURT
ORDERED SENTENCE (R v JARRETT (2002) SASC 289)'.

- PAROLE BOARD PROFESSIONAL AND CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT
AGAINST ME (DIRECTLY), MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO RECEIVE ANY
HONEST OR LEGITIMATE DECISIONS, BY S.A. PAROLE BOARD,
'PERTAINING TO MY RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION'.

- CORRECTIONAL SERVICES CHIEF EXECUTIVE, DAVID BROWN,
PROFESSIONAL AND CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT AGAINST ME
(DIRECTLY), MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO RECEIVE ANY
HONEST OR LEGITIMATE DECISIONS / DETERMINATIONS,

BY DCS CHIEF EXECUTIVE BROWN, OR, FROM THE OFFICE OF THE DCS CHIEF EXECUTIVE, 'PERTAINING TO MY RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION' (IN ADDITION TO OTHER MATTERS PERTAINING TO ME SPECIFICALLY).

- CRIMINAL AND PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT BY PRISON COURSE FACILITATOR, SPECIFICALLY AT ME ONLY, DURING MY 2018 COURSE PARTICIPATION, WHILST CRIMINALLY PURSUEING THEIR ILLEGAL PERSONAL AGENDA (DIRECTLY AND SPECIFICALLY RELATING TO, AND, PERTAINING TO ME), MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO RECEIVE ANY UNTAINTED DECISIONS/ DETERMINATIONS (ASSESSMENTS/REPORTS), BY DCS RPB (REHABILITATION AND PROGRAMS BRANCH).

RE - REQUEST GOVERNOR'S PARDON/ORDER TO RELEASE ME.

DEAR GOVERNOR

I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST YOUR INTERVENTION, TO ASSIST IN MY FORMAL REQUEST TO BE RELEASED FROM INCARCERATION (BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA).

DUE TO THE NATURE OF MATTERS DESCRIBED/PARTICULARISED WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT, OPI REF. ~~2~~ No. 2022-004071, AND ABOUT WHOM THOSE ACCUSATIONS RELATE, I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO TWO PRIMARY ISSUES RELATING TO ME, WHICH THE SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT HAS ILLEGALLY COVERED-UP, IN ORDER TO PROTECT THEIR DELIBERATE CRIMINAL IMPROPRIETIES AGAINST ME.

ISSUE ONE: IN 1994 I WAS DEFENDING A MURDER CHARGE, WHICH WAS 'ILLEGALLY PROSECUTED BY THE CROWN', DUE TO DELIBERATE CRIMINAL ACTIONS BY PROSECUTOR WENDY ABRAHAM, SAFSC DIRECTOR

BILL TILSTONE, SAFSC MICROBIOLOGIST DR ANGELA VAN DAAL (TRIAL WITNESS FOR DPP), SAFSC'S TONY FOCARETTA (ACTING FOR DIRECTOR BILL TILSTONE), AND OTHER STATE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. SOME OF THEIR SPECIFIC CRIMINAL ACTS ARE DESCRIBED WITHIN MY RECENT OPI COMPLAINT REF. NO. 2022/004071. MY LAWYER MR ROCKY PERROTTA (PERROTTA LEGAL, MOB. 0413 805 384), HAS ALSO HAD DIRECT WITNESS CONVERSATIONS⁶ WITH DR HARRY HARDING, WHO HIMSELF WAS A VICTIM OF CRIMINAL IMPROPRIETIES, DIRECTLY CONSEQUENTIAL TO DR HARDING'S REPORT AND COURT TESTIMONY (EXPERT WITNESS FOR MY DEFENCE LAWYER, OUTSOURCED BY SAFSC).

I WILL NEVER BE SILENT ABOUT THEIR CRIMINAL ACTIONS LEADING UP TO, AND, DURING MY 1994 MURDER TRIAL, OR, WHAT 'THEIR' REASONS AND MOTIVES WERE FOR 'THEIR' CRIMINAL ACTIONS AGAINST ME. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE OPI WILL PROPERLY INVESTIGATE THE MATTER EITHER, BECAUSE, CONSIDER THE RAMIFICATIONS OF PUBLIC SCRUTINY ABOUT ME BEING ILLEGALLY PROSECUTED AND ILLEGALLY CONVICTED 28 YEARS AGO, AND, THE TRUTH ABOUT WHY 'THEY' DID IT, AND, THAT I HAVE BEEN ILLEGALLY INCARCERATED FOR 28 YEARS (SINCE THE 1994 TRIAL)!

IN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071 (MATTER NO. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 AND 6), 'MATTER NO. 6' PERTAINS TO MY 1994 MURDER TRIAL.

I DO NOT DOUBT THAT THE SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT WOULD PREFER THAT I ~~DIE~~ DIE IN PRISON, RATHER THAN LET THE PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA FIND OUT THE TRUTH, ABOUT, THE CRIMES OF STATE WHICH CRIMINALLY MANIPULATED MY 1994 MURDER TRIAL, TOWARDS THE ILLEGALLY PROSECUTED VERDICT OF GUILTY.

ISSUE TWO: IN 2002 I WAS RE-SENTENCED BY THE FULL COURT,
R v JARRETT [2002] SASC 289 (SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ③).

THERE IS NO LEGAL ERROR IN MY 2002 SENTENCING ORDER, HOWEVER, THE SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT CONTINUES TO CRIMINALLY DISREGARD THE COURT ORDER WHICH IS MY 2002 SENTENCING JUDGMENT (SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ②, AND ③), AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY RE-DEFINE THE PROPER AND LEGITIMATE INTERPRETATION OF MY SAID 2002 SENTENCING ORDER, WITH THE CONST. CH. II SOUTH AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT'S OWN (AND CRIMINALLY MISREPRESENTATIVE AND FALSE), INTERPRETATION OF:

1. THE PERIOD OF TIME (DURATION), WHICH IS THE 'NON-PAROLE PERIOD'.
2. THE EFFECTIVE DATE, WHICH JUDICIALLY IDENTIFIES AS THE 'END OF THE NON-PAROLE PERIOD OF TIME'.
3. THE ONLY PERMISSIBLE SENTENCING STANDARDS, WHICH "MUST" BE APPLIED BY THE SENTENCING COURT'S 2002 SENTENCING ORDER (WHICH THEN DIRECTLY AFFECTS THE CALCULATED NON-PAROLE PERIOD OF TIME, AND, THE DATE SIGNIFYING THE END OF NON-PAROLE PERIOD OF TIME), IN CONSTRUCTING AND THEN IDENTIFYING MY NEW NON-PAROLE PERIOD SENTENCING ORDER, ACCORDING TO S.A. GOV. (NOT THE COURT THOUGH).
4. THE STATE GOVERNMENT'S COMPETENT JURISDICTION (TO ADMINISTER AND ENFORCE MY 2002 SENTENCING ORDER UPON ME, ACCORDING TO THE STATE GOVERNMENT'S INTERPRETATION OF MY SAID 2002 SENTENCING ORDER PROPER), TO INCARCERATE ME, TO CONTINUE TO INCARCERATE ME, TO REFUSE/DENY ME RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION, TO ADD/CREATE A NEW NON-PAROLE PERIOD OF TIME, AND NEW STATE GOVERNMENT ENFORCEABLE END OF NON-PAROLE PERIOD DATE, ADDITIONAL

TO WHAT THE COURT ORDERED AS 'LEGALLY ENFORCEABLE, BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT, WITHIN THE COURT'S ORDER WHICH IS MY 2002 JUDGMENT R v JARRETT [2002] SASC 289'.

IN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, 'MATTER No. 5' PERTAINS TO MY 2002 RE-SENTENCING ORDER, CRIMINAL ACTIONS BY S.A. GOV. IN 'THEIR' CRIMINAL MISMANAGEMENT OF MY 2002 SENTENCING ORDERS (R v JARRETT [2002] SASC 289), 'THEIR' CRIMINAL DISREGARD FOR S.A. GOV'S CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION TO ONLY ENFORCE A SENTENCE UPON ME WHICH IS DEFINED WITHIN MY 2002 SENTENCING ORDERS, 'THEIR' JURISDICTIONALLY FRAUDULENT DECISIONS AND ACTIONS, WHICH THEY IMFLICTED UPON ME, CONSEQUENTIAL TO 'THEIR' BLATENT REFUSAL TO LAWFULLY ENFORCE MY SAID 2002 SENTENCING ORDERS UPON ME, IN A MANNER COMPLIANT WITHIN THE PARTICULARISED JUDICIAL CONSTRAINTS, AS WORDED BY, AND THEREFORE WITHIN, MY SAID 2002 JUDGMENT PROPER.

MY 2002 SENTENCING JUDGMENT IS VERY EASY TO ACCURATELY INTERPREP, AND THEREFORE UNDERSTAND ALSO. A CRIMINAL JURISDICTION SENTENCING ORDER MUST ONLY BE DESCRIBED, AND THEREFORE DEFINED, BY ITS SPECIFIC WORDING, SO THAT, IF A POSITIVELY WORDED OR NEGATIVELY WORDED EFFECT EXISTS WITHIN RESPECTIVE SENTENCING JUDGMENT, THE ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF RESPECTIVE SENTENCE (BY CONST. CH. II GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS), MUST ADHERE TO AND COMPLY WITH SUCH WORDED EFFECT AND INTENTIONS. MY JUDGMENT R v JARRETT [2002] SASC 289, IS FUNDAMENTALLY INSTRUCTIVE IN ITS WORDED ORDERS, AND, ITS WORDED INTENTIONS (SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ②, AND ③, AND ④, AND ⑤, AND ⑨, AND ①, AND ⑧).

SINCE 2004/2005 (APPROXIMATELY), THE Sth. AUST. GOVERNMENT HAS REPEATEDLY REFUSED TO LAWFULLY INVESTIGATE, MY FORMAL COMPLAINTS (INCLUDING TO DCS HEAD OFFICE, DCS PAROLE BOARD, DCS MINISTERS, AND OTHER STATE GOV. AGENCIES/BODIES), ABOUT THE Sth. AUST. GOVERNMENTS 'STIPULATED NON-PAROLE PERIOD OF TIME', AND, 'STIPULATED NON-PAROLE ~~PER~~ PERIOD END DATE', AND, 'STIPULATED CORRECT SENTENCING STANDARDS ORDERED BY MY 2002 SENTENCING COURT, AND, THEREFORE, ENFORCEABLE AGAINST ME (ACCORDING TO "CROWN LAW ADVICE" WHICH S.A. GOV. CLAIM AS "THEIR RIGHT UPON WHICH THEY ACT")'.

A SIMPLE CONCEPT EXISTS IN RELATION TO MY CRIMINAL JURISDICTION SENTENCE, PURSUANT TO THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION, IT IS THAT, 'THE STRICT SEPARATION OF POWERS BETWEEN CH. I, CH. II AND CH. III (PARLIAMENT, EXECUTIVE GOVERNMENT, COURTS - JUDICATURE), IS CLEAR TO ENSURE INTRINSIC JURISDICTION TO ACT'.

I DO NOT OWN MY SENTENCE (HEAD SENTENCE OR NON-PAROLE PERIOD). THE S.A. GOV. (CONST. CH. II), DOES NOT, NOR CAN IT EVER OWN MY CRIMINAL JURISDICTION SENTENCE.

THE CONST. CH. III COURTS OWN MY CRIMINAL JURISDICTION SENTENCE, AND ONLY A COMPETENT COURT OF CRIMINAL JURISDICTION, HAS JURISDICTIONAL COMPETENCE AND AUTHORITY TO ~~EXPLAIN~~ EXPLAIN, DEFINE, REDUCE/INCREASE THE ACTUAL PENALTY OF MY IMPOSED, AND THEREAFTER ORDERED SENTENCE ... AS WAS FORTIFIED BY MY 2002 SENTENCE ORDER (PARAGRAPHS 14 AND 16 IN PARTICULAR).

THE CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION UPON THE S.A. GOVERNMENT, WHEN OFFICIALLY RESPONDING TO MY SAID COMPLAINTS, ABOUT 'S.A. GOV. MISINTERPRETATION/FALSE CALCULATION OF MY SENTENCE DATES, AND, PERMISSIBLE ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS IT IS LAWFULLY PERMITTED TO

ADMINISTER AND ENFORCE AGAINST ME (IN ACCORDANCE WITH, PURSUANT ~~TO~~ TO, AND THEREFORE COMPLIANT WITH MY ACTUAL IMPOSED AND ORDERED SENTENCE),
IS TO FORMALLY APPEAR IN COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION, AND
OFFICIALLY ASK THE COURT TO CLARIFY/EXPLAIN ITS ACTUAL AND
PROPER MEANING, OF ANY 'DESCRIPTIONS, ADMINISTRATIVE OBLIGATIONS,
NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS, PAROLE/RELEASE FROM CUSTODY FEATURES AND
ENTITLEMENTS (AND ANY OTHER SENTENCING PARTICULARS),
IN-DISPUTE BETWEEN ME (IMPOSED SENTENCE, WHICH I MUST SERVE),
AND, THE STATE GOV. OF Sth. Aust. (IMPOSED SENTENCE, WHICH THEY
MUST OBSERVE, ADMINISTER AND ENFORCE UPON ME).

I RESPECTFULLY ASK THE GOVERNOR TO DIRECT THE SOUTH AUSTRALIAN
GOVERNMENT, TO, 'IMMEDIATELY RELEASE ME FROM INCARCERATION', TO,
'PARTICIPATE IN FORMAL COMMUNICATION WITH ME AND MY LAWYER (ROCKY
PERROTTA, PERROTTA LEGAL), RELATING TO MY 1994 MURDER TRIAL
IMPROPRIETIES BY STATE GOV. (MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071 'MATTER
No. 6')', TO, 'PROVIDE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE/REIMBURSEMENT TO MY
LAWYERS, FOR THEIR LEGAL REPRESENTATION OF ME FOR MATTERS DESCRIBED
WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071', TO, 'IF NOT PREPARED OR
WILLING TO IMMEDIATELY RELEASE ME FROM INCARCERATION, SUCH AS
WITHIN 14 DAYS, THEN, TO PROMPTLY SEEK FORMAL COURT'S
HEARING FOR SENTENCE CLARIFICATION OF MY SAID 2002 SENTENCE
ORDERS (AS I HAVE PARTICULARISED IN MY OPI COMPLAINT
2022/004071 'MATTER No. 5'), AND FOR THE Sth. Aust. GOV. TO
FULLY FUND ALL MY LAWYER'S REPRESENTATION COSTS, AT 'Q.C.'
RATES, FOR MY LAWYER'S REPRESENTATION OF ME FOR SAID FORMAL
COURT'S HEARINGS, AND, THAT THE CROWN ASK THE COURT TO
'EXPEDITE ALL SAID HEARINGS'.

IT IS MY INTENTION TO JUDICIALLY CHALLENGE MY 1994 MURDER CONVICTION,

AND HAS BEEN SINCE THE CONVICTION, HOWEVER, IT HAS ONLY BEEN WITHIN THE LAST 5 YEARS (APPROXIMATELY), THAT THE TRUE EXTENT OF PROFESSIONAL AND CRIMINAL IMPROPRIETIES, BY STATE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES/REPRESENTATIVES, INVOLVED IN THE GOVERNMENT'S PROSECUTION OF THE MURDER CHARGE, HAS BECOME KNOWN TO ME AND TO MY LAWYER (R. PERROTTA, PERROTTA LEGAL), AND, IT IS FROM MY LAWYER THAT I HAVE RECEIVED SOME EXPLOSIVE INFORMATION (FOR THE FIRST TIME), THAT IS SO SERIOUS AS TO WARRANT, AND JUSTIFY, A ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE STH. AUST. GOVERNMENT'S 'MURDER CHARGE PROSECUTION OF ME (MID. 1990S), THE CONDUCT OF STH. AUST. FORENSIC SCIENCE SENIOR STAFF (TILSTONE, FOCARETTA, VAN DAAL AND OTHERS, PRIOR TO, DURING AND AFTER MY 1994 TRIAL), THE CONDUCT OF DPP SENIOR STAFF (PAUL ROFFE, WENDY ABRAHAM, PRIOR TO, DURING TRIAL, AND, ONGOING ILLEGAL COVERUP OF THEIR IMPROPRIETIES AFTER I WAS CONVICTED OF MURDER IN 1994)'.

A ROYAL COMMISSION IS VERY EXPENSIVE, PLUS, I BELIEVE THE PUBLIC FUNDS COULD BE BETTER SPENT ON THE COMMUNITY, RATHER THAN ON 'A COMMISSION'S INVESTIGATION OF STATE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION, WHICH WILL ONLY BE WHITE-WASHED ANYWAY'. IF, THE SOUTH AUSTRALIAN ATTORNEY-GENERAL, OR, SOUTH AUSTRALIAN PREMIER, WERE TO PROMPTLY ORDER MY IMMEDIATE RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION, AND, ~~IF~~ FOR EXAMPLE, PROVIDE A GOVERNMENT'S LIAISON (SUCH AS THROUGH THE OFFICE OF THE STH. AUST. ATTORNEY-GENERAL), WITH WHOM MY LAWYER AND I CAN OFFICIALLY MANAGE MY '1994 MURDER CONVICTION CIRCUMSTANCE, AND, 2002 SENTENCE CIRCUMSTANCE' (PARTICULARISED BY ME IN MY OPI COMPLAINT REF. 2022/004071, 'MATTER No. 6', AND, 'MATTER No. 5' RESPECTIVELY), WITH THE INTENTION OF EFFICIENTLY PROGRESSING TO JUDICIAL RESOLUTION, OF SAID 'MATERS', I BELIEVE SATISFACTION OF MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071 CAN BE QUICKLY, AND, MORE FINANCIALLY RESPONSIBLY

RESOLVED. I WOULD HATE FOR THE STH. AUST. GOVERNMENT TO WASTE PUBLIC FUNDS, TRYING TO COVER-UP STATE GOVERNMENT SERIOUS CRIMINAL IMPROPRIETIES, DESCRIBED BY ME WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, 'MATTERS ①, ②, ③, ④, ⑤ AND ⑥', WHETHER BY WAY OF OPI/ICAC 'BRUSH-OFF', OR SOME OTHER MANNER OF 'DISREGARD TOWARDS MATERIAL FACTS RELEVANT TO EACH RESPECTIVE ~~MATTER~~ MATTER NUMBER', RATHER THAN LAWFULLY ACT NOW, TO ENSURE SAID 'MATTERS' COMPLAINED ABOUT BY ME (OPI REF. 2022/004071), DO NOT EVER HAPPEN TO ANOTHER STH. AUST. PRISONER.

I AM ONLY A PRISONER, I HAVE BEEN IN CUSTODY SINCE 1992, AND, I HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO FORMALLY COMPLAIN ABOUT CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST ME.... AND YET.... WHEN I SPOKE WITH SAPOL TO MAKE OFFICIAL WITNESS/VICTIM STATEMENT, ~~ONLY~~ ^{NOT} ONLY DID SAPOL BLATENTLY REFUSE TO TAKE MY POLICE WITNESS/VICTIM STATEMENT, SEVERAL WEEKS LATER (ON RECORDED PRISON TELEPHONE SYSTEM), THE POLICE DETECTIVE ACTIVELY TRIED AND SUCCEEDED IN NOT PERMITTING ME TO MAKE FORMAL POLICE COMPLAINT AND PRESENT MY WRITTEN STATEMENT. THAT MATTER IS DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI REF. 2022/004071, AS WELL.

I AM NOT A PROFESSIONAL AT WRITING CORRUPTION COMPLAINTS, BUT THAT DOES NOT MEAN I AM NOT ACCURATE IN DESCRIBING SUCH MATTERS, OR, THAT I AM NOT ABLE TO DESCRIBE THE CRIMINAL IMPROPRIETIES AGAINST ME EITHER. THERE ARE ALSO MANY GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS WHICH I CANNOT OBTAIN FROM THE STATE GOV. I AM COMPLAINING AGAINST, SO, WHEN I MAKE REFERENCE TO SUCH DOCUMENTS, WITHIN MY OPI ~~COMPLAINTS~~ COMPLAINTS, HOW AM I MEANT TO PRESENT THEM WHEN OPI COMPLAINT IS WRITTEN AND SUBMITTED BY ME ???

Is it NOT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT, TO ENSURE THAT 'THEY' ACT LAWFULLY TOWARDS ME AT ALL TIMES, WHILST I AM A PRISONER IN THEIR CUSTODY? So THEN, WHEN I FORMALLY COMPLAIN TO Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT, AND ACCUSE THEM OF 'CRIMINAL ABUSE OF THEIR STATUTORY OBLIGATION (AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION), TO ENFORCE MY 2002 SENTENCE UPON ME', TO THE EFFECT THAT THE STATE GOVERNMENT HAS 'UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INFLICTED UPON ME, A PENALTY AND HARM, BOTH PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL, WHICH IS NOT LAWFULLY PERMISSIBLE ~~WH~~ WITHIN THE WORDED DESCRIPTION, OR, WORDED INTENTIONS, OF MY 2002 SENTENCE ORDER (R v JARRETT [2002] SASC 284), DIRECTLY CONSEQUENTIAL TO ME NOT EVEN BEING LAWFULLY INCARCERATED SINCE 2009', IT IS BURDENED UPON THE Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT, TO PROMPTLY SEEK CH. III COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION RULING, SO AS TO ENSURE JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITY IS MAINTAINED, FOR THE REQUESTED COURT'S CLARIFICATION OF 'THE ACTUAL AND CORRECT INTERPRETATION, AND SPECIFICALLY DEFINED AND PARTICULARISED ORDERS OF MY SAID 2002 SENTENCING JUDGMENT'.

IF THE Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT DO NOT HOLD JURISDICTIONAL COMPETENCE 'TO MAKE FORMAL DETERMINATION, AND THEN, ADMINISTRATIVELY ACT UPON THEIR FORMAL DETERMINATION (DIRECTLY CONCERNING MY INCARCERATION, ALSO, DIRECTLY CONCERNING Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT'S CUSTODY OF ME)', THEN, THEY CANNOT HOLD LAWFULLY ACTIONABLE AUTHORITY FROM WHICH TO ACT UPON SUCH JURISDICTIONABLY FRAUDULENT FORMAL DETERMINATION. I AM NOT LAWFULLY IN STATE GOVERNMENT'S CUSTODY.... AND QUALIFICATION OF THIS MATERIAL FACT CAN ONLY BE LAWFULLY DETERMINED BY THE SPECIFIC WORDING OF MY SENTENCING ORDERS, AS ORDERED BY THE

COURT IN 2002, R v JARRETT [2002] SASC 289 (SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ①, AND ③).

AS AN ILLEGALLY/UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INCARCERATED PRISONER, I CAN NOT EVER RECEIVE A LEGITIMATE OR PROPER CONSIDERATION FOR MY 'RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION', BY THE PAROLE BOARD OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA, OR, BY ANY OPERATION OF ANY PROVISION OF THE CORRECTIONAL SERVICES ACT (S.A.), CURRENTLY OPERATING, BECAUSE, BOTH 'PAROLE BOARD AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES ACT MUST HOLD COMPETENT JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITY OVER A STATE GOVERNMENT PRISONER', PRIOR TO, AND THEREFORE, ACTIONABLE AGAINST SUCH PRISONER. I HAVE ALSO BEEN CRIMINALLY THREATENED, IN WRITING, BY DCS CHIEF EXECUTIVE D. BROWN, ON STATE GOVERNMENT LETTERHEAD DOCUMENT, AFTER MAKING AN OPI COMPLAINT (THAT MATTER IS ALSO DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071). I HAVE ALSO BEEN CRIMINALLY HARASSED, IN PERSON, BY PAROLE BOARD CHAIRPERSON NELSON, DURING FORMAL PAROLE APPLICATION HEARING, AND THEREFORE BY THE PAROLE BOARD ALSO, ABOUT MATTERS BEING ILLEGALLY PURSUED BY PAROLE BOARD CHAIRPERSON NELSON, ON BEHALF OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT OF STH. AUST. (THAT MATTER IS ALSO DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071). MY 2018/2019 'PAROLE APPLICATION' (BY ME), AND, 'PAROLE APPLICATION HEARING' (BY THE PAROLE BOARD, ON BEHALF OF STH. AUST. GOVERNMENT), WERE NOT ADMINISTRATIVELY LEGITIMATE OR PROPER EITHER, AS I WAS NOT LAWFULLY INCARCERATED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT AT THAT TIME, BUT STILL HAD TO ENGAGE THAT ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS (THAT MATTER IS ALSO DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071).

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE GOVERNOR IS REQUIRED TO ONFORWARD

'THIS DOCUMENT' TO THE EXECUTIVE STATE GOVERNMENT (LIKELY THE PREMIER), FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESSING OF MATTERS DESCRIBED HEREIN. IT IS RELEVANT TO INFORM THE GOVERNOR, THAT, CROWN SOLICITORS DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN 'USED BY THE STH. AUST. GOVERNMENT', IN RELATION TO 'MATTERS ①, ②, ④ AND ⑤' OF MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, IN A CRIMINALLY ABUSIVE MANNER, INCLUDING, TO 'CREATE AND THEN PROVIDE A LEGAL OPINION ABOUT SPECIFIC MATTER, DIRECTLY RELATING TO ME, AND THEN, THE STATE GOVERNMENT HAS ADMINISTRATIVELY ACTED (TOWARDS ME), IN LINE WITH RESPECTIVE CROWN SOLICITORS' ADVICE', EXCEPT THAT, NOT ONLY WAS THE RESPECTIVE ADVICE (FROM CROWN SOLICITORS DEPARTMENT), OPERATIONALLY ERRONEOUS, ~~ADMINISTRATIONAL~~ ADMINISTRATIVELY FALSE, AND, JURISDICTIONALLY FRAUDULENT (FROM WHICH TO OPERATIONALLY DETERMINE 'THEIR' RIGHT TO ACT TOWARDS ME, AND THEN, TO IN ~~THE~~ FACT ADMINISTRATIVELY ACT TOWARDS ME, BUT WITHOUT COMPETENT JURISDICTION TO LAWFULLY ACT IN SUCH MANNER TOWARDS ME), IT THEREFORE LINKED THE CROWN SOLICITORS DEPARTMENT WITH RESPECTIVE IMPROPRIETIES OF THE STH. AUST. GOVERNMENT (I ~~HAVE~~ HAVE FORMALLY COMPLAINED ABOUT), AS WELL.

I POINT OUT TO THE GOVERNOR (WITHIN THE PURPOSE AND FUNCTION OF THE WHISTLEBLOWERS ACT, AND, ICAC ACT), JUST AS A MATTER OF INTEREST, THAT, WITHIN LAST COUPLE OF YEARS, 'DCS CHIEF EXECUTIVE BROWN HAS BEEN CAUGHT OUT DIRECTLY, BY WAY OF FORMAL OMBUDSMAN'S INVESTIGATION AND RULING, AND, FORMAL ICAC COMMISSIONER (LANDER), RULING', AND, EVEN AFTER I CLEARLY DESCRIBED MY LEGAL RIGHTS IN THE RESPECTIVE MATTER, CEO BROWN STILL CHOSE TO LIE TO ME (OFFICIALLY, IN WRITING), CLAIM THAT HE AND THE STATE GOVERNMENT HELD COMPETENT JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY, TO MAKE AND THEN ACT ON 'THEIR' RESPECTIVE 'GOVERNMENTS' ADVICE',

14.6.2022

13.

SO THAT, NOT ONLY DID DCS CEO BROWN 'GET FORMALLY RULED AGAINST' BY OMBUDSMAN (MORE THAN ONCE), AND ICAC COMMISSIONER, IN RELATION TO CORRUPTION COMPLAINTS BY ME, IT ALSO PROVES S.A. CORRECTIONS DOES NOT ACT LAWFULLY TOWARDS ME, JUST BECAUSE THEY 'SAY AND CLAIM IT'...

I DO NOT MAKE FALSE CORRUPTION COMPLAINTS... EVER!

THERE ARE MANY 'GOVERNMENT CREATED DOCUMENTS' WHICH WILL NEVER BE RELEASED TO ME VIA F.O.I. REQUEST, HOWEVER, WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, I HAVE 'DESCRIBED CERTAIN DOCUMENTS', AND, 'IDENTIFIED PARTICULAR GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES WHICH ARE THE SOURCE OF PARTICULAR GOVERNMENT CREATED DOCUMENTS', SO THAT FORMAL AND COMPETENT IMPROPRIETY INVESTIGATIONS KNOW WHERE TO ~~TO~~ OBTAIN RESPECTIVE MATERIAL EVIDENCE IDENTIFIED/DESCRIBED BY ME.

I ALSO INFORM THE GOVERNOR, THAT, DURING MY 2017/2018 PARTICIPATION IN THE VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAM (VPP), ~~I WAS~~ 'I WAS CRIMINALLY PSYCHOLOGICALLY ASSAULTED, CRIMINALLY HARASSED, CRIMINALLY INTIMIDATED AND CRIMINALLY ~~THREATENED~~ THREATENED (A LOT OF IT WAS ON COURSE VIDEO CAMERA ALSO), BY A VPP FACILITATOR WHO IS ALSO A STATE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE FOR RPB (REHABILITATION AND PROGRAMS BRANCH), INCLUDING, CRIMINAL THREATS TO STOP MAKING CORRUPTION COMPLAINTS', THESE ARE ALSO MATTERS I HAVE INCLUDED IN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071.

I MAKE THE POINT ALSO, THAT IT IS A CRIME TO 'CAUSE A DETRIMENT TO A PERSON WHO IS / HAS MADE AN OPI COMPLAINT',

14.6.2022

14.

AND THEREFORE ASK THE GOVERNOR AND Sth. Aust. ATTORNEY-GENERAL, TO RESPECT MY LEGAL RIGHTS TO MAKE SUCH COMPLAINTS, AND PLEASE BE MINDFUL OF HOW THE Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT HAS SO FAR TRIED TO ILLEGALLY COVERUP MATTERS/IMPROPRIETIES DESCRIBED BY ME WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071.

RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION

THE PAROLE BOARD IN THEIR 2019 'REASONS FOR REFUSAL' DOCUMENT (REFER ATTACHED DOCUMENT ⑥), TOOK ISSUE WITH 'MY LACK OF TRUST IN GOVERNMENT/AUTHORITIES, AND SO HOW CAN I BE TRUSTED TO RESPOND PROPERLY TO PAROLE SUPERVISION?'. MY DISTRUST IN SPECIFIC Gov. PEOPLE, SPECIFIC Gov. AGENCIES/DEPARTMENTS, FOR VERY SPECIFIC REASONS, IS DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, AND, ALSO SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENTS ⑩, AND ①, AND ③, AND ⑨. FOR MY OWN SAFETY REASONS, IT IS NOT PRUDENT TO HIGHLIGHT MY CONTACTS WITH AUTHORITIES (INCLUDING SENIOR PRISON STAFF), BUT TO PROVE MY POINT REFER TO PRISON ASSISTANT DIRECTOR TOBY BURNER, SECURITY MANAGER NATHAN TIDY, AND OPI REF. NOS. 2022/002248, OPI 2022/003834, ICAC REF. 2022/001397, OPI REF. 2022/003921, AND EXACTLY WHAT THEY ALL RELATE TO (THESE MATTERS ARE RECENT).

THE BOARD ALSO TOOK ISSUE WITH 'ME NOT ADMITTING GUILT OF ARSON AND MURDER'. BOTH THE 'ARSON' AND 'MURDER' PROSECUTIONS AND RESPECTIVE CONVICTIONS, ARE SUBJECT MATTERS SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, 'MATTER ① AND ⑥' RESPECTIVELY.... SEE ALSO ATTACHED DOCUMENT ⑩. I ALSO ASK THAT THE GOVERNOR OBTAIN A COPY OF MY 'LETTER SUBMISSION TO

THE PAROLE BOARD', CONSISTING OF 26 PAGES AND DATED APPROX. 17 APRIL 2019. I FURTHER POINT OUT TO THE GOVERNOR, THAT THE 'VPP IS A VOLUNTARY COURSE', SO THEN, WHY, AS A LIFER, AM I ILLEGALLY EXPECTED TO ADMIT GUILT, OF MURDER, AS AN ILLEGAL PRE-REQUISITE TO IT THEN BEING OPEN TO ME TO BE PERMITTED TO 'SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE' THE VPP, AS AN ADDITIONAL/COMPOUNDED ILLEGAL PRE-REQUISITE TO IT THEN BEING OPEN TO ME TO BE PERMITTED TO 'RECEIVE PAROLE'? THIS IS A MATTER ALSO DESCRIBED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071.

AFTER RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION, IT IS MY ~~INTENTION~~ ^{DESIRE} TO ENGAGE IN PROFESSIONAL MENTAL HEALTH ASSISTANCE, OUTSIDE OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES.... THE REASONS FOR THIS DESCRIBED/EVIDENCED WITHIN OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071.

AFTER RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION, I PLAN TO UNDERTAKE 'DISTANCE EDUCATION STUDIES' IN PARA-LEGAL STUDIES, AND, DOING VOLUNTEER WORK ON 'LEGAL PROJECTS' WITH MY LAWYER R PERROTTA (PERROTTA LEGAL). I INVITE THE GOVERNOR, OR, ATTORNEY-GENERAL, TO COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY WITH MY LAWYER R. PERROTTA (PERROTTA LEGAL), SO AS TO ASK MR PERROTTA'S 'PROFESSIONAL OPINION OF MY ADMINISTRATIVE COMPETENCE, IN, FOR EXAMPLE, CRIMINAL LAW ~~AREA~~ PREPARATION AND RESEARCH', THEREBY ALSO PROVIDING ME LEGITIMATE EMPLOYMENT PROSPECTS (IN THE NEAR FUTURE), IN A RESPECTABLE PROFESSION/AREA OF EMPLOYMENT.

I HAVE MAINTAINED REGULAR PHONE CONTACT WITH PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY, WHICH, UPON RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION, I INTEND TO MAINTAIN. I DO HAVE PARTICULAR MENTAL HEALTH DIFFICULTIES, EXTENSIVELY DETAILED WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, AND THE SIGNIFICANT COMPLICATIONS (ONGOING), BORNE FROM MATTERS

CREATED SINCE MY INCARCERATION (IN 1992). SOME OF THE MATTERS RELATE TO MY CHILDHOOD, HOWEVER, THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DIFFICULTIES I STRUGGLE WITH, DID NOT EXIST PRIOR TO MY INCARCERATION. CONSEQUENTIAL TO MY DEALINGS WITH 2017/2018 VPP FACILITATOR (CRIMINAL MATTERS DESCRIBED WITHIN MY OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, IN PARTICULAR 'MATTER No. 4' THEREIN), I NO LONGER 'TRUST' ANY RPB REPRESENTATIVE, PRISON COURSE FACILITATOR, PRISON MENTAL HEALTH SPECIALIST. I KNOW THERE ARE TRUSTWORTHY PRISON STAFF (INTERVENTION UNIT), AND I DO STILL HAVE RESPECTFUL CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM, AND, IT DOES BURDEN ME THAT I HAD SUCH SIGNIFICANT PSYCHOLOGICAL INJURY, FROM MY VPP PARTICIPATION, BUT, I CANNOT EVER PERMIT MYSELF TO BE AGAIN PLACED IN SUCH A CRIMINALLY MANIPULATED AND TARGETED POSITION, AS I WAS IN 2017/2018 (AS A VPP PARTICIPANT, BY A SPECIFIC FACILITATOR). I DO WANT MENTAL HEALTH ASSISTANCE, BUT THE DEGREE OF DAMAGE INFLICTED UPON ME, AS A PRISONER PARTICIPANT IN THAT 2017/2018 VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAM, LEFT ME WITH SERIOUS AND PERMANENT PSYCHOLOGICAL SCARRE SCARRING.

IF THE Sth. Aust. GOVERNMENT HAS 'CONCERNS' ABOUT 'WHAT MY INTENTIONS MIGHT BE UPON MY RELEASE FROM INCARCERATION?', I HAVE NOT HIDDEN THAT FROM THE AUTHORITIES, IT INCLUDES 'APPEAL BOTH 1993 ARSON CONVICTION AND 1994 MURDER CONVICTION (SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENT (10), ALSO PART OF OPI COMPLAINT 2022/004071, 'MATTER No. 1, AND, 'MATTER No. 6' RESPECTIVELY), TO OBTAIN MENTAL HEALTH ASSISTANCE, TO ENGAGE IN DISTANCE EDUCATION FOR LEGAL STUDIES, TO CONTINUE MY DESIGN RESEARCH FOR 'RENEWABLE ENERGY DEVICE' (LATE 1990'S I SENT A PATENT APPLICATION FOR SUCH A DEVICE, WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO PURSUE PRACTICALLY).

I DO NOT MIND IF THE STATE GOVERNMENT PLACES A 'TRACKER

14-6-2022

17.

DEVICE' ON ME EITHER (EG ANKLET), AT LEAST UNTIL MY '2002 SENTENCING COMPLAINT ABOUT BEING UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INCARCERATED', OR, MY 'MURDER CONVICTION IS ACQUITTED BY THE APPEAL COURT'.

I HOPE THAT THE GOVERNOR, AND, THE NEW LABOUR GOVERNMENT OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA, PROMPTLY WORK TOWARDS A SPEEDY RESOLUTION OF MY UNCONSTITUTIONAL INCARCERATION (WITHIN GOVERNMENT PRISON SYSTEM).

IT MAY ALSO ASSIST THE GOVERNOR, AND, THE STATE GOVERNMENT, TO OBTAIN INTERIM COURT ORDER TO IMMEDIATELY RELEASE ME FROM INCARCERATION, SO THAT IT IS THE COURT WHICH ORDERS MY RELEASE, WHILST THE GOVERNMENT FORMALLY INVESTIGATES MY 'ILLEGAL INCARCERATION' (STATE GOV. NON-COMPLIANCE WITH MY 2002 SENTENCING ORDER).

RESPECTFULLY



D.P. JARRETT

14-6-2022

- ATTACHMENTS:
- ① LETTER TO CAA, DATED 7-10-2020
 - ② LETTER TO D JARRETT, DATED 31-10-2012.
 - ③ R v JARRETT (2002) 83 SASR 583.
 - ④ CSA, No 94, PAGES 618, 619.
 - ⑤ CSA, S. 70 'DURATION ON PAROLE FOR LIFE PRISONERS'
 - ⑥ PAROLE BOARD, COVER PAGE, DATED 26-3-2019.
 - ⑦ PAROLE BOARD, ANNUAL REVIEW NOTICE, DATED 5-3-2021.
 - ⑧ LETTER TO GOVERNOR (SRE), DATED 21-3-2022, 29 PAGES.
 - ⑨ QUICK REFERENCE DATES, 2002 SENTENCING INTERPRETATION.
 - ⑩ LETTER TO D JARRETT, DATED 2-6-2022 (LAWYER, LSC).